

Record of Proceedings for 03.02.2015

O.P.No.16 of 2015

M/s APTRANSCO vs M/s Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 596 to 499 of 2003).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.17 of 2015

M/s APCPDCL vs M/s Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 596 to 499 of 2003).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.18 of 2015

M/s APNPDCL vs M/s Andhra Pradesh Paper Mills & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 596 to 499 of 2003).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.19 of 2015

M/s APTRANSCO vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 30 to 34 of 2004).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.20 of 2015

M/s APCPDCL vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 30 to 34 of 2004).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.21 of 2015

M/s APNPDCL vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 30 to 34 of 2004).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.22 of 2015

M/s APNPDCL vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Determination of tariffs including transmission charges. Certain generators questioned the tariff order dated 23.03.2006 in respect of levy of transmission control period 2006-07.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.23 of 2015

M/s APCPDCL vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 30 to 34 of 2004).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.24 of 2015

M/s APNPDCL vs M/s Small Hydro Power Developers' Association & Others

Commission by order dated 22.03.2004 determined bulk supply tariff, transmission charges, SLDC charges (common order in OP Nos. 30 to 34 of 2004).

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.No.01 of 2015

M/s RPP Ltd. Vs DISCOMS

Petition for reviewing the tariff order dated 20.03.2009 in OP Nos. 17 to 20 of 2009 in respect of wheeling tariff for FY 2009-10 to 2013-14 and RST for FY 2009-10

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.No.02 of 2015

M/s RPP Ltd. Vs APTRANSCO

Petition for reviewing the tariff order dated 20.03.2009 in OP Nos. 17 to 20 of 2009 in respect of wheeling tariff for FY 2009-10 to 2013-14.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.No.03 of 2015

M/s KCP & 2 others Vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & DISCOMS

Petition for reviewing the tariff order dated 20.03.2009 in OP Nos. 17 to 20 of 2009 in respect of wheeling tariff for FY 2009-10 to 2013-14.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.No.04 of 2015

M/s KCP & 2 others Vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & DISCOMS

Petition for reviewing the tariff order dated 20.03.2009 in OP Nos. 17 to 20 of 2009 in respect of wheeling tariff for FY 2009-10 to 2013-14.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.No.05 of 2015

Small Hydro Power Developers Association & 16 others vs DISCOMS

Petition for reviewing the tariff order dated 20.03.2009 in OP Nos. 17 to 20 of 2009 in respect of wheeling tariff for FY 2009-10 to 2013-14.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.25 of 2015

M/s GVK Industries vs DISCOMS & APPCC

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 in respect of certain claims amounting to Rs. 262 crores.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.26 of 2015

APTRANSCO & 4 DISCOMS VS M/s GVK Industries Ltd.

Petition u/s 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking calculation of interest on working capital for computation of fixed charges, limiting the working capital amount to the actual borrowings.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.27 of 2015

M/s Lanco Kondapalli Power Ltd. vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & DISCOMS

Petition u/s 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming finance and procurement costs payable by the respondents together with interest thereon and IA No. 4 of 2010 filed by the respondents.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.28 of 2015

M/s Lanco Kondapalli Power Ltd. vs APPCC, APTRANSCO & DISCOMS

Petition u/s 62, 86 (1) (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 claiming finance and procurement costs payable by the respondents together with interest thereon and IA No. 4 of 2010 filed by the respondents.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.(SR) No.5 of 2015

M/s Guttaseema Wind Energy Company Pvt.Ltd. vs APTRANSCO & DISCOMS

Petition for review of the order in OP Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009 dated 22.04.2013.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

R.P.(SR) No.6 of 2015

APTRANSCO & DISCOMS vs M/s Guttaseema Wind Energy Company Pvt.Ltd.

Petition for review of the order in OP Nos. 6 & 7 of 2009 dated 22.04.2013.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner raised the issue of jurisdiction which has to be decided by the commission. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.29 of 2015 & IA No. 03 of 2015

APSLDC vs M/s GVK Power & Infrastructure Ltd. (Stage-1) & GVK Industries Ltd.
(Stage-1)

Petition under order 1, Rule 10 of CPC r/w Section 94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003, to implead M/s GVK Industries (Stage – 2) as respondent No. 2 in OP No. 12 of 2010.

The counsel for the petitioner and the representative for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the issue will be heard by APERC and as such the commission has no jurisdiction over the matter. On the other hand the representative stated that the matter involves jurisdiction may be adjourned to another date on which date they will submit the arguments. The Commission will consider the submissions and pass orders in the matter.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.30 of 2015

APSLDC vs M/s Goutami Power Ltd.

Petition filed for non-compliance of backing down instructions of APSLDC by the respondent.

The counsel for the petitioner and the representative for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the issue will be heard by APERC and as such the commission has no jurisdiction over the matter. On the other hand the representative stated that the matter involves jurisdiction may be adjourned to another date on which date they will submit the arguments. The Commission will consider the submissions and pass orders in the matter.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.31 of 2015 & IA No. 04 of 2015

APSLDC vs M/s GVK Power & Infrastructure Ltd. (Stage-II) & GVK Industries Ltd.
(Stage-II)

Petition under order 1, Rule 10 of CPC r/w Section 94(2) of the Electricity Act, 2003, to implead M/s GVK Industries (Stage-I) as respondent No. 2 in OP No. 12 of 2010.

The counsel for the petitioner and the representative for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the issue will be heard by APERC and as such the commission has no jurisdiction over the matter. On the other hand the representative stated that the matter involves jurisdiction may be adjourned to another date on which date they will submit the arguments. The Commission will consider the submissions and pass orders in the matter.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.No.32 of 2015 & IA No. 05 of 2015

M/s Tata Power Trading Company Ltd. vs DISCOMS and APTRANSCO

Petition seeking the illegal and wrongful deductions towards illegal compensation claim for supply of short term power. IA filed by the petitioner seeking directions for release of the amounts on productions of bank guarantee pending disposal of the main OP.

The counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. No representation on behalf of the petitioner. The counsel for respondents stated that this matter also involves the issue regarding jurisdiction and therefore, has also been raised before APERC. He would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue of jurisdiction be decided by the Commission. Accordingly posted to 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O.P.(SR) No.07 of 2015

M/s Spectrum Power Generation Ltd. vs APTRANSCO & 5 others

Petition filed under Section 86 (1) (f) read with Sections 86 (1) (a) and 86 (1) (k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 for approval of completed capital cost incurred by the petitioner.

The counsel for the petitioner and counsel for respondent appeared before the Commission. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the issue of jurisdiction has to be decided by the Commission along with other petitions. The counsel for respondents stated that the issue has also been raised before APERC and he would submit his arguments subsequently, thereafter the issue be decided by the Commission. The arguments were heard in part and were decided to be continued on 15.04.2015.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman